INDIAN JOURNAL OF LEGAL AFFAIRS AND RESEARCH

VOLUME 3 ISSUE 1

Peer-reviewed, open-access, refereed journal

IJLAR

+91 70421 48991
editor@ijlar.com
www.ijlar.com

DISCLAIMER

The views and opinions expressed in the articles published in the Indian Journal of Legal Affairs and Research are those of the respective authors and do not necessarily reflect the official policy or position of the IJLAR, its editorial board, or its affiliated institutions. The IJLAR assumes no responsibility for any errors or omissions in the content of the journal. The information provided in this journal is for general informational purposes only and should not be construed as legal advice. Readers are encouraged to seek professional legal counsel for specific legal issues. The IJLAR and its affiliates shall not be liable for any loss or damage arising from the use of the information contained in this journal.

Introduction

Welcome to the Indian Journal of Legal Affairs and Research (IJLAR), a distinguished platform dedicated to the dissemination of comprehensive legal scholarship and academic research. Our mission is to foster an environment where legal professionals, academics, and students can collaborate and contribute to the evolving discourse in the field of law. We strive to publish high-quality, peer-reviewed articles that provide insightful analysis, innovative perspectives, and practical solutions to contemporary legal challenges. The IJLAR is committed to advancing legal knowledge and practice by bridging the gap between theory and practice.

Preface

The Indian Journal of Legal Affairs and Research is a testament to our unwavering commitment to excellence in legal scholarship. This volume presents a curated selection of articles that reflect the diverse and dynamic nature of legal studies today. Our contributors, ranging from esteemed legal scholars to emerging academics, bring forward a rich tapestry of insights that address critical legal issues and offer novel contributions to the field. We are grateful to our editorial board, reviewers, and authors for their dedication and hard work, which have made this publication possible. It is our hope that this journal will serve as a valuable resource for researchers, practitioners, and policymakers, and will inspire further inquiry and debate within the legal community.

Description

The Indian Journal of Legal Affairs and Research is an academic journal that publishes peer-reviewed articles on a wide range of legal topics. Each issue is designed to provide a platform for legal scholars, practitioners, and students to share their research findings, theoretical explorations, and practical insights. Our journal covers various branches of law, including but not limited to constitutional law, international law, criminal law, commercial law, human rights, and environmental law. We are dedicated to ensuring that the articles published in our journal adhere to the highest standards of academic rigor and contribute meaningfully to the understanding and development of legal theories and practices.

THE IMPACT OF ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE ON EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITIES FOR PERSONS WITH DISABILITIES

AUTHORED BY - ADITI YADAV

Abstract

Artificial Intelligence (AI) has emerged as one of the most transformative technologies of the twenty-first century. It has impacted all areas, whether it be labour markets, communication systems, workplace structures or employment practices around the world; nothing has been untouched by it. When we discuss the dynamic use of AI in automation, economic efficiency, and technological advancement, we must also analyze its impact on persons with disabilities. The manner in which AI tools are designed, assembled, and regulated shows that their adaptability can either aid or hinder persons with disabilities. The AI-driven assistive technologies, remote work platforms, accessible recruitment systems, and adaptive workplace tools can substantially enhance accessibility, independence, and employability. On the other hand, algorithmic bias, inaccessible digital infrastructure, automated exclusionary recruitment mechanisms, and workplace surveillance systems may reinforce existing inequalities faced by persons with disabilities.

This article will analyze the impact of Artificial Intelligence on employment opportunities for persons with disabilities within the framework of human rights, workplace inclusion, and disability rights. This article will highlight the key aspects of how AI has broadened workplace accessibility and economic participation, while addressing legal, ethical, and socio-economic concerns associated with AI-based employment systems. The study also evaluates the relevance of the Rights of Persons with Disabilities Act, 2016, the provisions of the Constitution of India, and the United Nations Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities (UNCRPD) in facilitating inclusive technological progress. The article wraps up by suggesting policy recommendations to ensure that Artificial Intelligence serves as a tool for empowerment rather than exclusion for individuals with disabilities.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence, Disability Rights, Employment, Accessibility, Inclusion, Workplace Equality, Assistive Technology, Algorithmic Bias, RPwD Act.

Introduction

Artificial Intelligence (AI) has become one of the most dominant technologies, revamping almost every sector of society, including governance, education, communication, healthcare, transportation and employment. When we talk about the employment opportunities of persons with disabilities in the workplace, disabled persons continue to face barriers at every stage of employment: job search, recruitment, interview, onboarding, retention, promotion, and career advancement.

Even in this evolving and technology-driven time, employment rates for persons with disabilities continue to be considerably higher than those without disabilities. This marginalization arises from numerous reasons: insufficient reasonable accommodation, inaccessible environments, biased beliefs, inflexible workplace structure, weak institutional enforcement, and limited educational resources. Artificial Intelligence now has the potential to either mitigate or worsen these obstacles.

AI is already impacting employment in all possible ways. Employers use algorithmic tools to screen applications, assess candidates, monitor worker performance, and automate administrative tasks. At the same time, AI-powered assistive technologies can support communication, reading, mobility, and remote participation for persons with disabilities. The core issue, therefore, is not whether AI will affect disability and work, but how it will do so and whose interests it will serve. Recently, the Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD) work confirms that AI has both promising and risky consequences for persons with disabilities in the workforce, and that involvement from users is a major gap in creating AI tools related to disabilities.

Their employment opportunities should not be influenced solely by their skills and motivation, but also by the availability of digital systems, the fairness of algorithmic tools, and the effectiveness of legal norms designed to protect them against subtle forms of exclusion. The article will center on the question: Can AI boost employment opportunities for persons with disabilities? Under what conditions can we expect the employment rate to increase? And what changes should be brought

by law and governance so that this inequality and exclusion in the workplace will come to an end?

Understanding Disability and Employment

The new disability jurisprudence does not see disability as an individual deformity that requires cure or rehabilitation, as in the traditional medical model of disability. Instead, modern disability theory embraces a more social and humane approach, recognizing that disability results from the interaction between impairment and societal barriers.

The United Nations Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities has played a significant role in changing the outlook on disability. It has justified disability as a matter of dignity, autonomy, accessibility, equality, and participation. The Convention espouses that the right of persons with disabilities to work on an equal basis with others and obligates States to prohibit discrimination in employment.

In India, the Rights of Persons with Disabilities Act, 2016, was introduced to improve the lives of persons with disabilities. The Act recognizes multiple categories of disability and guarantees equality, accessibility, non-discrimination, and reasonable accommodation in the employment context. In the presence of these legal acts, persons with disabilities continue to face certain barriers in employment, including:

- inaccessible workplaces,
- discriminatory recruitment systems,
- limited career advancement opportunities,
- no reasonable accommodations,
- social stigma,
- and digital exclusion.

Thus, Artificial Intelligence arrives in an already biased work setting, and its influence needs to be evaluated in the context of wider systems of bias and social exclusion.

To understand the influence of AI, we should first look into the employment challenges that people

with disabilities confront. In India, in various regions, people with disabilities frequently face lower participation in the workforce and higher rates of unemployment compared to those without disabilities. The issue is not merely a lack of capability; it is that the labour market has some preconceived notions of "normal" functioning, constant work patterns, and standardized communication styles. These notions lead to exclusion even before AI enters the picture.

For people with disabilities, obstacles to employment arise at an early stage. Online job application systems might not be suitable for screen readers. Interview platforms might not allow for closed captioning or sign language assistance. Work environments may not offer flexible hours, accessible transport, or necessary support structures. People with disabilities are perceived as being less productive at the workplace and always need assistance and are not suitable for the work style. Artificial Intelligence can either change this pattern or elevate it.

The OECD notes that people with disabilities continue to face a significant labour-market gap, and that AI may either support or hinder their participation depending on its design and governance. This is important because it shows that technology does not operate neutrally. For a person with disability, an AI system can be either a bridge to employment or a new gatekeeping device that silently filters them out.

Positive Impact of Artificial Intelligence on Employment Opportunities

1. AI-Driven Assistive Technologies

One of the most important contributions of Artificial Intelligence lies in the development of assistive technologies that enhance accessibility and workplace participation for persons with disabilities. AI-powered assistive tools such as:

- screen readers,
- speech recognition systems,
- predictive text software,
- virtual assistants,
- smart prosthetics,
- and real-time captioning systems

have greatly improved communication and professional involvement for people with disabilities. For example, speech-to-text tools allow those with hearing difficulties to successfully engage in meetings, online conferences, and workplace discussions. Likewise, AI-driven navigation and mobility systems help visually impaired individuals navigate the workplace independently. Such technologies reduce dependency and facilitate active economic participation.

2. Expansion of Remote Work Opportunities

The rise of AI-supported digital workplaces has substantially expanded remote work opportunities for persons with disabilities. Remote employment structures reduce barriers associated with inaccessible transportation systems and workplace infrastructure.

AI-enabled collaboration platforms, automated scheduling systems, cloud-based communication tools, and virtual workspaces have made flexible employment increasingly viable across various industries.

For many disabled individuals, remote work offers:

- flexibility,
- autonomy,
- reduced mobility-related challenges,
- and improved work-life balance

This change was clear during the COVID-19 crisis, as working-from-home arrangements showed that adaptable work styles could be implemented.

3. Inclusive Recruitment and Hiring

Artificial Intelligence can help reduce unfair hiring practices when used properly. Conventional hiring processes often put people with disabilities at a disadvantage because interviews may not be accessible, and recruiters may have hidden biases. AI-based recruitment systems may theoretically improve fairness by assessing applicants based on their skills and qualifications rather than their appearance or disability. Online application systems that are easy to access, translation tools powered by AI, automated captioning, and digital support may help create more inclusion in the hiring process. Additionally, AI can assist employers in identifying workplace accommodations required by employees with disabilities, thereby supporting smoother integration into organizational structures.

4. **Personalized Learning and Skill Development**

Technologies based on AI have greatly increased the chances for vocational training and skill enhancement for persons with disabilities.

Adaptive learning platforms can adjust educational material based on each person's accessibility requirements, learning abilities, and communication styles. Examples include AI tools that assist reading for individuals with dyslexia, learning systems that can be operated by voice for users who have visual impairments, and customized educational programs designed for people facing intellectual disability challenges.

Skill development directly affects employment prospects. Therefore, education backed by AI could greatly increase workforce participation among people with disabilities.

Negative Implications and Challenges

1. **Algorithmic Bias and Discrimination**

Although AI systems are frequently presented as objective and neutral, they may reproduce existing social inequalities through algorithmic bias. Artificial intelligence systems are developed using historical data that might include biased patterns. Consequently, automated recruitment tools may unintentionally disadvantage persons with disabilities by:

- removing gaps in employment,
- penalizing unconventional career trajectories,
- or prevent candidates through inaccessible evaluation techniques

For instance, facial recognition systems might wrongly evaluate people with specific physical challenges or atypical neurological behaviours. Similarly, systems that track workplace productivity could unfairly affect workers who need flexible schedules or special arrangements. Discrimination driven by algorithms is concerning because it may operate invisibly and without a proper accountability mechanism.

2. **Digital Inaccessibility**

AI technologies could create obstacles if accessibility factors are not taken into account during their development and implementation. Many digital systems remain inaccessible to individuals with visual, hearing, cognitive, or psychosocial disabilities due to:

- incompatible screen-reader interfaces,
- inaccessible CAPTCHA systems,
- absence of captioning,
- complex navigation systems,
- and inaccessible virtual communication tools

If AI-based employment systems fail to incorporate universal accessibility principles, they may reinforce exclusion rather than promote inclusion.

3. Automation and Job Displacement

One of the most significant concerns associated with Artificial Intelligence relates to automation-driven job displacement. AI-powered automation may eliminate repetitive and routine forms of work that have traditionally provided employment opportunities for marginalized populations, including persons with disabilities. Disabled employees might be especially at risk because they are overrepresented in low-income job sectors, they frequently encounter obstacles in obtaining higher-level technical training, and they might miss out on opportunities to upgrade digital skills. In the absence of inclusive workplace regulations and skill enhancement programs, AI-driven changes in the labour market could worsen economic disparities.

4. Workplace Monitoring and Privacy Issues

AI-driven systems that observe employee activities are increasingly focusing on employee behaviour, communication skills, and productivity levels. These monitoring practices might disproportionately impact individuals with disabilities. For example, neurodivergent workers might show communication patterns that AI systems label as "unusual." Likewise, employees who need flexible hours could be deemed less efficient. These practices raise serious concerns relating to:

- privacy,
- dignity,
- autonomy,
- and workplace discrimination

Law and Human Rights Framework

The impact of Artificial Intelligence on disability of employment must be examined within the framework of constitutional rights, disability legislation, and international human rights standards. The United Nations Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities recognizes:

- equality before the law,
- accessibility,
- non-discrimination,
- and the right to work

Similarly, the Rights of Persons with Disabilities Act of 2016 highlights the importance of:

- accessible infrastructure and digital resources,
- reasonable accommodations,
- measures for equal opportunity,
- and equitable employment practices

Additionally, Articles 14, 16, and 21 of the Constitution of India uphold the rights to equality, dignity, reservation and fair employment opportunities.

However, the existing legal framework often struggles to keep pace with the rapid advancements in artificial Intelligence. There is a pressing necessity for:

- mechanisms to ensure accountability in AI,
- clarity in algorithms,
- standards for accessibility,
- and protection against discrimination

Ethical Dimensions of Artificial Intelligence

The ethical considerations of artificial Intelligence go far beyond whether a system is efficient, accurate, and productive. It now shapes how decisions are made about people, thereby directly affecting human dignity, freedom, equality, participation, and social justice. Because of this, AI must be judged not only by technical performance but also by its social and moral implications which are based on dignity, autonomy, equality, participation, and social justice. Important ethical concerns include transparency, accountability, informed consent, fairness, accessibility, and privacy.

For the better and effective use of AI technology for persons with disabilities, they must be involved in the very first process of building technological design and the formulation of policies by the government. The guiding principle "Nothing about us without us" is very well suited here. Technologies that are created without considering the perspectives of persons with disabilities might unintentionally reinforce systems of exclusion.

Need for Inclusive AI Policies

Governments, employers, and technology creators need to implement AI policies that promote inclusivity and ensure fair participation in the workforce. Key policy initiatives that should be prioritized are:

- Mandatory standards for accessibility should be provided
- audits focused on identifying algorithmic biases,
- regulations for AI that take disability into account,
- digital infrastructure that is accessible to all,
- and inclusive programs for skill development.
- Additionally, organizations ought to establish:
- hiring practices that are inclusive,
- policies for accommodations,
- mechanisms for reviewing accessibility,
- and initiatives aimed at raising employee awareness

Educational institutions must enhance digital literacy and develop AI-related skills among individuals with disabilities to guarantee their effective participation in emerging job markets.

Role of Law and Governance

The function of law in this domain is not merely punitive. Law should not solely penalize discrimination after it occurs; it should actively influence the design, procurement, and implementation of AI systems to prevent harm in the first place. This requires a governance model that includes the State, employers, technology developers, organizations that set standards, and persons with disabilities.

Firstly, the law must require algorithmic transparency. If an AI system is used to screen applicants or assess workers, those affected should know that AI is being used and should have some way to understand the basis of adverse decisions. Opacity is particularly harmful to persons with disabilities because they may be unable to challenge discrimination they cannot see.

Secondly, systems used in digital workplaces must comply with accessibility guidelines. Hiring sites, interviews led by AI, training websites, and tools for internal communication must adhere to accessibility guidelines. Making things accessible should never be seen as an extra benefit. This needs to comply with the Rights of Persons with Disabilities (RPwD) Act and related rules on digital accessibility.

Thirdly, the legal framework should mandate human supervision. Artificial Intelligence is intended to aid in decision-making rather than replace accountability. When an applicant is rejected or an employee is penalized, someone must be held responsible for this rejection and can explain and talk about the grounds on which this decision has been taken.

Fourthly, legislation needs to incorporate procurement governance. Government bodies and large organizations should acquire AI systems that have been tested for fairness and accessibility. This is particularly important, since many digital solutions are provided by private suppliers who might not prioritize disability inclusion unless required by law.

Fifthly, governance should embrace inclusive regulation. Persons with disabilities should be part of creating policies, conducting technical evaluations, establishing standards, and performing audits. AI governance that excludes the participation of disabled individuals in the law-making process is likely to yield no benefit, making the position of persons with disabilities stagnant.

Indian Legal Context

The primary law is the Rights of Persons with Disabilities Act, 2016, which endorses a rights-oriented approach, establishing principles of equality, non-discrimination, accessibility, and involvement to ensure that persons with disabilities receive fair treatment. The Act's reach goes beyond just physical accessibility.

The law aims to safeguard individuals with disabilities from explicit discrimination, while also promoting the full and effective participation of people from various disability backgrounds in society. The current objective is to focus on incorporation within the framework of artificial intelligence-based recruiting platforms, digital evaluation tools, and online workplace resources. If these systems stay out of reach and inaccessible, it will not be possible to achieve envisioned equality as guaranteed by the legislation.

Other legal and policy materials also support this direction. India's disability policy framework and government accessibility standards emphasize inclusive services and an accessible digital environment. And the digital ecosystems must be made disability-friendly through enforceable standards, not just voluntary compliance. This is especially important because many recruitment and employment systems now exist primarily in digital form. An exclusion may happen before a human HR manager is even involved.

Recommendations

To guarantee that Artificial Intelligence acts as a tool for empowerment instead of a source of exclusion, numerous institutional and policy adjustments are essential.

Firstly, accessibility must be incorporated into the design process of AI systems from the very beginning. Technology firms should embrace universal design principles while ensuring that their products are compatible with assistive technologies.

Secondly, it is the duty of the governments to create legal norms that address algorithmic discrimination and mandate transparency in systems that use AI for employment.

Thirdly, employers need to carry out consistent audits to assess accessibility and bias in the AI technologies implemented in their workplaces.

Fourthly, governments and educational institutions should provide digital literacy and AI-related vocational training courses specifically tailored to persons with disabilities.

Fifthly, persons with disabilities must actively participate in the processes of technological development, workplace management, and AI policymaking. Finally, creating inclusive digital economies in which disabled people can also benefit and contribute requires strong cooperation among disability rights organizations, employers, policymakers, and technology developers.

Conclusion

Artificial Intelligence offers both advantages and challenges for individuals with disabilities in the employment area. Technologies powered by AI can transform accessibility, communication, and inclusivity in the workplace, as well as financial self-sufficiency. Tools to assist, systems for remote work, platforms for adaptive learning, and accessible recruitment processes can greatly enhance job inclusion for individuals with disabilities.

On the other hand, AI also poses significant risks, including biased algorithms, exclusion from digital resources, workplace monitoring, and job loss due to automation. Technology should not be seen as neutral in society because its effects rely on the priorities of institutions, the frameworks of regulations, and accessibility considerations.

Thus, the inclusion of persons with disabilities in AI-driven job markets relies on a commitment to a rights-centered and inclusive approach to tech development. Legal safeguards established by the Rights of Persons with Disabilities Act, 2016, principles of equality in the Constitution, and global standards for human rights should shape the governance of AI and the changes in workplaces.

In the end, the aim should extend beyond just technological progress to achieving fairness in technology. Artificial Intelligence ought to serve as a tool for empowerment, respect, equality, and participation, rather than turning into another means of exclusion for individuals with disabilities.

References

1. Barnes, C., & Mercer, G. (2010). *Exploring disability* (2nd ed.). Polity Press.
2. Bhat, P. I. (2019). *Idea and methods of legal research*. Oxford University Press.

3. Constitution of India, 1950.
4. Gooding, P. (2020). Mapping the rise of digital mental health technologies: Emerging issues for law and society. *International Journal of Law and Psychiatry, 67*, 101498. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijlp.2019.101498
5. International Labour Organization. (2021). *Making the future of work inclusive of people with disabilities*. ILO Publications.
6. Kaushik, A. (2018). *Research methodology in social sciences*. Rawat Publications.
7. Lawson, A. (2008). *Disability and equality law in Britain: The role of reasonable adjustment*. Hart Publishing.
8. Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development. (2023). *Artificial intelligence and the labour market outcomes of persons with disabilities*. OECD Publishing. https://www.oecd.org
9. Rights of Persons with Disabilities Act, No. 49 of 2016, India Code (2016).
10. Shakespeare, T. (2018). *Disability: The basics*. Routledge.
11. United Nations. (2006). *Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities*. United Nations. https://www.un.org/disabilities/
12. United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization. (2021). *Recommendation on the ethics of artificial intelligence*. UNESCO Publishing.
13. Verma, S. K., & Wani, M. A. (Eds.). (2015). *Legal research and methodology* (2nd ed.). Indian Law Institute.
14. World Health Organization & World Bank. (2011). *World report on disability*. World Health Organization.
15. Whittaker, M., Crawford, K., Dobbe, R., Fried, G., Kaziunas, E., Mathur, V., West, S. M., Richardson, R., Schultz, J., & Schwartz, O. (2018). *AI now report 2018*. AI Now Institute, New York University.
16. European Commission. (2021). *Proposal for a regulation laying down harmonized rules on artificial intelligence (Artificial Intelligence Act)*. European Union.
17. United Nations Development Programme. (2022). *Digital accessibility and inclusion for persons with disabilities*. UNDP Publications.
18. OECD. (2024). *Using artificial intelligence to support employment inclusion of persons with disabilities*. OECD Publishing.

19. Committee on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities. (2018). *General comment No. 6 on equality and non-discrimination*. United Nations.
20. Information Technology Act, No. 21 of 2000, India Code (2000).
21. Ministry of Social Justice and Empowerment. (2021). *Accessible India Campaign: Progress report*. Government of India.
22. United Nations. (1948). *Universal Declaration of Human Rights*. United Nations.